Family Name	Wright
Given Name	Diane
Person ID	1287319
Title	Other Comments
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287319_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1287319_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287319_SOSEIton.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Wright
Given Name	Diane
Person ID	1287319
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287319_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287319_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1287319_SOSEIton.pdf
Family Name	Wright
Given Name	Diane
Person ID	1287319
Title	Our Vision
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287319_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287319_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1287319_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The vision for Greater Manchester has been planned without proper engagement or public consultation. Also the consultations have been designed in such a way that are difficult to respond to especially for residents with limited I T skills or digital access.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The plan needs to go back to Regulation 18 of the Town and Country planning actand be positively prepared with proper public engagement and consultation
Family Name	Wright
Given Name	Diane
Person ID	1287319
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287319_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287319_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1287319_SOSEIton.pdf
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	 Meet our housing need Create neighbourhoods of choice Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Please take the time to read in full the supporting documents I have provided to you to explain why this plan fails on all the above points.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Please take the time to read in full the supporting documents I have provided to you teo explain shy this plan fails on all the above points.
Family Name	Wright
Given Name	Diane
Person ID	1287319
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287319_SOSElton.pdf PFE1287319_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1287319_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	GMCA made the decision to move a poorly prepared plan forward to the publication stage of the town and country planning act even though major changes have been made to the plan since its last round of consultation. for example Stockport withdrew from what was the GMSF and Manchester City Council has had a 35% uplift to their housing targets to be met within that specific area. This means that this plan has changed significantly and therefore requires going back to proper consultation for residents directly affected to comment further.

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	As above the plan needs to go back to proper consultation with the residents of Greater Manchester.
Family Name	Wright
Given Name	Diane
Person ID	1287319
Title	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287319_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287319_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1287319_SOSEIton.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Wright
Given Name	Diane
Person ID	1287319
Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287319_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287319_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1287319_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No

Redacted reasons - Please give us details	Please see the attached supporting document
of why you consider the	
consultation point not	
to be legally compliant,	
is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	
co-operate. Please be	
as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification	Removal JPA 7 allocation Elton Reservoir from the plan
- Please set out the	
modification(s) you consider necessary to	
make this section of the	
plan legally compliant	
and sound, in respect	
of any legal compliance or soundness matters	
you have identified	
above.	
Family Name	Wright
Given Name	Diane
Person ID	1287319
Title	JPA 9: Walshaw
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287319_SOSWalshaw.pdf
	PFE1287319_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1287319_SOSEIton.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In	No
accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	
Redacted reasons -	Please see supporting attached document
Please give us details	Please see supporting attached document
of why you consider the	
consultation point not	
to be legally compliant,	
is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	
co-operate. Please be	
as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification	Please remove JPA 9 Walshaw from the plan
- Please set out the	
modification(s) you consider necessary to	
make this section of the	

plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Wright
Given Name	Diane
Person ID	1287319
Title	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287319_SOSEIton.pdf PFE1287319_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1287319_SOSWalshaw.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Please see the attached supporting documentation
Family Name	Wright
Given Name	Diane
Person ID	1287319
Title	JP-D2 Developer Contributions
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287319_SOSWalshaw.pdf PFE1287319_SOSGeneral.pdf PFE1287319_SOSEIton.pdf
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	